

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,882	12/09/2003	James Rohl	279.630US1	6739	
21186 SCHWEGMA	7590 07/28/200 N. LUNDBERG & WC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2938			NGUYEN, PHONG H		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/731,882	ROHL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PHONG H. NGUYEN	3724	

	PHONG H. NGUYEN	3724	l			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
 Sign reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavitieal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee			
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any semed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR.1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);				
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		cted claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment (s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment (s): a) I have been pr		be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-12.57 and 64-67</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. \(\) Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. \(\) Other:						
	/Timothy V Eley/					
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3724				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added paragraph in claim 10 changes the scope of the claims and therefore presents possible new issues that require further search and consideration since claim 10 is not obviously allowable over prior art.